

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/017,927	12/18/2001	Takashi Yazu	32014-177339	1477		
20987	7590 07/31/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
VOLENTINE FRANCOS, & WHITT PLLC			ARMSTRONG	ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A		
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESTON, VA 20190			2626	<u>-</u>		
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/200	DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/017,927	YAZU, TAKASHI
Examiner	Art Unit
Angela A. Armstrong	2626

	Angela A. Armstrong	2626	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	·	· · · ——	
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		Angela A Armstron Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2626	n strong

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendments to independent claims 1 and 4 raise new issues requiring further search and consideration. .

Continuation of 13. Other: the finality of the Office Action mailed February 9, 2006, will be maintained. The first Office Action, at paragraph 5, indicated that claims 2-8, similarly like claim 1, were narrative and indefinite, as well as having limitations which lacked antecedant basis. A prior art rejection was not provided for claims 4-8 as it was unclear as to what applicant attempted to claim. In applicant's response to the first Office Action, applicant amended the claims and the new ground of rejection was subsequently provided.